UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,775	12/14/2005	Shingo Hashimoto	125474	5111
25944 7590 10/29/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			TRINH,	TRINH, MINH N
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,775	HASHIMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Minh Trinh	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 D	ecember 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	·	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/14/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/560,775

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stratico et al (6732971) in view of Kawamura et al (5657530)

Stratico et al is directly or indirectly disclose a stator manufacturing device comprising: a winding as assembly unit 11 for forming that forms a winding coil made up of a plurality of unipolar coils formed by winding wire (see Fig. 3, and the discussed at col. 7, lines 1-9); an insertion as needle unit 11b that receives the winding coil from the winding unit and inserts the winding coil into a stator core (see Fig. 3, and the discussed at col. 7, lines 1-9); a transfer unit 12 that is movable towards the insertion unit and the shaping unit, wherein, in a State of holding the stator core, the transfer unit, by relatively moving to the insertion unit, moves the winding coil into a position for

Art Unit: 3729

insertion into the stator core, and, by relatively moving to the shaping unit, forms an outline of the winding coil (see Fig. 2 and the discussed at col. 7, lines 10-33). Stratico is in silent regarding the shaping unit that shapes an outline of the winding coil that has been inserted into the stator core. The Kawamura et al discloses such (see reference 27 of Figs. 1 and 3, and the discussed at col. 4, lines 12-27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Kawamura's teaching as discussed above onto the invention of Stratico et al in order to form a winding device that having the shaping unit associates thereof so that he winding coil can be efficiently pressed into the stator housing.

As applied to claim 2-3, it appears that Fig. 1 and 3 of the Kawamura et al also disclose the limitation of claims 2-3, where the transfer unit holding the core approaches the insertion unit (see Fig. 1).

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 4-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3729

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mt 10/22/07